

DISCLAIMER

This electronic version of an SCC order is for informational purposes only and is not an official document of the Commission. An official copy may be obtained from the [Clerk of the Commission, Document Control Center](#).

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

AT RICHMOND, MARCH 16, 2000

PETITION OF

TAZEWELL COUNTY, VIRGINIA

CASE NO. PUC990094

For a reduction in certain
tariff rates of GTE South, Inc.

ORDER CLOSING CASE

On May 19, 1999, the Board of Supervisors of Tazewell County, Virginia, ("County") filed a petition with the State Corporation Commission ("Commission") for a reduction in certain tariff rates applicable to GTE South, Inc. ("GTE"). GTE filed its response to the County's petition on July 9, 1999, and the County filed a reply to GTE's response on July 22, 1999. In its July 9, 1999, response to the County's petition, GTE stated that it was continuing to investigate its current costs of providing Subscriber Record Information ("SRI"), and estimated that it would complete its investigation by the end of October 1999.

On November 12, 1999, GTE filed the results of its investigation. GTE recommended decreasing rates for SRI, limiting the availability of SRI service to Tazewell County and other counties that currently utilize SRI service, and cautioned that SRI service was becoming obsolete as E911 service is becoming more advanced.

On March 3, 2000, GTE and the County filed a joint motion requesting that the Commission close this proceeding. In support of its motion, the parties state that since the investigation filing, GTE and Tazewell County have discussed the relevant issues and have agreed to appropriate amendments and additions to GTE's tariffs related to the provision of SRI service. The proposed amendments and additions to GTE's tariffs were filed with the Commission on January 19, 2000, and became effective on February 21, 2000. The parties state that because of these changes in GTE's tariffs, they believe that all the issues presented by the County's petition have been resolved and request that the Commission close this proceeding.

NOW THE COMMISSION, having considered the joint motion and applicable law, is of the opinion that the motion should be granted. The Commission finds that this case should be closed.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT this case be, and hereby is, DISMISSED from the docket of active cases.